

On the Gravitational Wave in de Sitter Spacetime*

Liu Liao[†]

Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, PRC

February 7, 2008

Abstract

For there is always a wrong sign in the mass of graviton in the so-called perturbation expansion approximation of both Minkowski and de Sitter spacetimes, the existence of gravitational wave from the metric perturbation of de Sitter spacetime is doubtful. We try another way to start from the assumption that the gravitational wave equation should be both general covariant and conformal invariant and find that graviton is no longer a part of metric field, it has an effective mass of $m_g = \sqrt{R/6} = \sqrt{2\Lambda/3}$ with correct sign in de Sitter spacetime, though it's intrinsic mass remains zero.

PACS number(s): 03.50.Kt, 04.20.Cv

As was shown by S.Perlmutter et al in 1999 [1], that a best fit flat cosmology to the observed Hubble constant H_0 and cosmological constant Λ from the red-shift of I_a -type supernova can be obtained, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda &= 1 \\ (\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda) &= (0.28, 0.72)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

wherein

$$\Omega_{m,\Lambda} \equiv \frac{\rho_{m,\Lambda}}{\rho_c}, \rho_c \equiv \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}$$

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10373003

[†]Email: liuliao1928@yahoo.com.cn

The above result suggests strongly that not only the so-called “cosmological vacuum energy density $\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} = \rho_\Lambda$ ” or “dark energy” is about 2.6 times that of the matter field in our universe but also we are living in an accelerating expanding universe. Now a very fundamental problem is, does the gravitational wave exist in the de Sitter universe?

First we try to introduce the linear approximation of perturbation expansion.

One of the perturbation expansion initiated by C.Møller [2] is to expand the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ around the Minkowski spacetime metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ as follows

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

where

$$(\eta) = (+1, -1, -1, -1)$$

We shall show later, this kind of perturbation expansion can lead us to the finite-range gravitation. Note, throughout this paper, the signature convention is the same as that of Birrell and Davies’ book [3]. It is straightforward to show that the linear approximation of Einstein’s gravitational field equation with cosmological term

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} \quad (3)$$

is [2]

$$(\square - 2\Lambda)h_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi GT_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\square h_{\mu\nu}$, in harmonic gauge

$$\begin{aligned} \square &\equiv \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu \\ T_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\Lambda)} \equiv T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} - \frac{1}{2}T^{(m)}\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}\eta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Note, the correct mass term for $h_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq.(4) should be positive in the above signature, that is the mass $m_g^2 = (-2\Lambda)$ should be positive or Λ should be negative! (See also the paper of Freund et al in [6])

Therefore Eq.(4) for the perturbed gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ seems to have a mass term only when $\Lambda < 0$, in other words, the perturbed gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ in the above approximation can be looked upon as a spin 2 particle with rest mass $m_g = \sqrt{-2\Lambda} (\sqrt{-2\Lambda}\hbar c^{-1})$ in flat Minkowski spacetime background when $\Lambda < 0$.

After introducing

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho} &= \rho_m - \frac{\Lambda}{4\pi G} \\ g_{00} &= 1 + 2\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

in static case, Eq.(4) gives the famous Newmann-Seeliger gravitational field equation when $\Lambda < 0$

$$\Delta\varphi + 2\Lambda\varphi = 4\pi G\hat{\rho}$$

or

$$\Delta\varphi - (-2\Lambda)\varphi = 4\pi G\hat{\rho} \quad (6)$$

the above mass term implies there exists an Yukawa-type potential [4]

$$\varphi = -\frac{GM}{r}e^{-\sqrt{-2\Lambda}\cdot r} \quad (7)$$

However on account of the smallness of $|\Lambda|$ or of graviton mass, the deviation from Newton's law has no practical measurable meaning inside the Hubble length $H^{-1} = \sqrt{-3/\Lambda} \simeq 10^{28}$ cm of our universe.

For the Minkowski spacetime is no longer a solution of the Einstein's gravitational field equation with $\Lambda \neq 0$, many authors argued [5] that the perturbation expansion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ should around the de Sitter spacetime. Now let $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ be the metric of de Sitter spacetime, the perturbation expansion of certain metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ around it will be

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \quad (8)$$

or

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$$

then the perturbed Einstein vacuum field equation with Λ -term

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (9)$$

will be

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (10)$$

Novello and Neves showed [6] that the field equation for the perturbation (10) should be

$$\square h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3}\Lambda h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (11)$$

wherein gauge conditions

$$h^\mu{}_\mu = 0, h^\mu{}_{\nu;\mu} = 0 \quad (12)$$

are used in order that the field $h_{\mu\nu}$ has just five degrees of freedom. From Eq.(11), it's evident that the mass term of $h_{\mu\nu}$ has a wrong negative sign or the graviton should have an imaginary mass

$$m_g = \sqrt{-2\Lambda/3} \quad (13)$$

The perturbation field equations Eq.(4) and Eq.(11) have meaning only for anti-de Sitter spacetime of $\Lambda < 0$. Unfortunately, the accelerating expanding of our universe strongly suggests that the cosmological constant Λ is positive, this may imply that the above two different kinds of perturbation expansion are not a reasonable approximation when $\Lambda > 0$.

It seems therefore the metric perturbation of both Minkowski universe and de Sitter universe can't create gravitational wave or graviton when $\Lambda > 0$. However, people believe that violent astronomical events, e.g., the burst of supernova, collision of galaxies or double stars damping... certainly should radiate gravitational wave. But if the above cited violent astronomical events can be looked upon as perturbations to the metric of de Sitter universe for the cosmological distant observers, then our above citation will cast strong doubt on the existence of such gravitational wave or graviton. So all gravitational wave detecting projects based on the metric perturbation of de Sitter universe might have no results. This may really be a very severe problem confront us !

In what follows we try another way to manipulate the gravitational wave problem in de Sitter spacetime. There are evidences to suppose that our universe may be approximated by the steady state model

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - e^{2Ht}(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \quad (14)$$

where $H = \sqrt{\Lambda/3}$

Though this model is not flat, it is however both spacial flat and conformal flat to the Minkowski spacetime [3], this implies that there is a conformal factor Ω^2 such that

$$ds^2 = \Omega^2 ds_M^2 \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} ds_M^2 &= \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ \Omega^2 &= e^{2Ht} \end{aligned}$$

We can first construct a Lorentzian covariant massless tensor field theory in Minkowski spacetime, then by using the conformal relation Eq.(15) we can construct a conformal invariant and general covariant tensor field theory in the curved de Sitter spacetime as follows :

The Lorentzian covariant massless free tensor field equation in Minkowski spacetime reads

$$\square_\eta \varphi_{\mu\nu}^{(M)} = 0 \quad (16)$$

We remark a self-consistent tensor field equation can be established, the details can be seen from the supplementary remarks in Wentzel's book [7]. By conformal transformation, a conformal invariant and general covariant tensor field $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ equation in conformal flat de Sitter spacetime is [3]

$$[\square + \frac{1}{4}(n-2)R/(n-1)]\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

or

$$\square\varphi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (17)$$

where the dimension of space time is $n = 4$, the curvature scalar R of de Sitter spacetime is $R = 4\Lambda$

$$\varphi_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^{-1}\varphi_{\mu\nu}^{(M)} \quad (18)$$

I would like to point out that the general covariance of Eq.(17) can be guaranteed by choosing the harmonic gauge

$$\square'(\alpha'^{\lambda}_{\mu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}(\square'x'^{\lambda}) = 0$$

Here we emphasize that the conformal invariance assures that the intrinsic mass of $\varphi_{\mu\nu}^{(M)}$ and $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ are always zero, and its effective mass $m_g \equiv \sqrt{2\Lambda/3}$ comes wholly from the non-zero curvature scalar R or cosmological constant Λ , also remark $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varphi_{\mu\nu}^{(M)}$ in Eq.(18) are conformal equivalent, they should have the same Fock representation, so particle picture or graviton has meaning for both $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varphi_{\mu\nu}^{(M)}$, though it is not true in general, especially in the case $\Lambda < 0$ (anti-de Sitter spacetime). It is interesting to point out that the effective mass in Eq.(17) is $m_g = \sqrt{2\Lambda/3}$, which is very similar to Novello's result ($m = \sqrt{-2\Lambda/3}$) except that our result has the correct positive sign in de Sitter background spacetime.

Let's give a brief summary of our new approach. First of all, no perturbation expansion of any kind is used throughout our paper. Therefore unlike the perturbation expansion, our tensor field is not the perturbed part of the background metric field. Now if the self-consistent massless tensor field in Eq.(16) can be looked upon as graviton in Minkowski spacetime, we have then no other choice except to receive the interpretation that it's conformal invariant partner (i.e., conformal coupling partner) should be looked upon as conformal graviton in de Sitter spacetime with non-zero effective rest mass of $\sqrt{2\Lambda/3}$.

Our last conclusion is therefore.

It seems, there are no gravitational wave or graviton originated from metric perturbation of de Sitter universe, but conformal gravitational wave or conformal graviton with effective mass $\sqrt{2\Lambda/3}$ may exist.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the discussion and e-communication with Profs. S.Deser, C.G.Huang, M.Novello, S.Y.Pei and Dr. J.B.Pitts. Also, I would like to thank Dr. J.S.Yang for his painstaking help to this manuscript.

References

- [1] S.Perlmutter, et al, APJ 517, 565(1999)
- [2] C.Møller, The Theory of Relativity(1955)
- [3] N.D.Birrell and P.C.W.Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (1982) (Cambridge university press)
- [4] M.V.Laue, Die Relativitätstheorie, Zweiter Band(1956)
- [5] e.g. S.Deser, Private Communication May, 2004; C.G.Huang, private communication Nov, 2004
- [6] P.G.Freund, et al, APJ 157, 857(1969); M.Novello and R.P.Neves, Class Quantum Grav. 20,167(2003)
- [7] G.Wentzel, Quantum Theory of Fields, Chapter VI supplementary remarks(1949) (Interscience Publisher)